EDITORIAL

Pakistan Pediatric Journal

Pak Pediatr J 2026: **50(1):**1-3

From Nourishment to Neglect: The Paradox of Excess Milk Feeding in Toddlers

Few feeding practices are as warmly perceived by families as giving milk to a young child. Milk is associated with growth, comfort, strength, and parental reassurance. In infancy, that association is justified: milk is nutritionally central and developmentally appropriate. In toddlerhood, however, the picture changes. What was once essential can, when continued in excess and often through prolonged bottle feeding, become a barrier to healthy nutrition and development. The paradox is striking: the very food regarded as the hallmark of nourishment may, in excess, contribute to iron deficiency, poor dietary diversity, constipation, dental caries, and missed opportunities for normal feeding maturation. [1–3]

This pattern is common in pediatric practice. Parents often report with pride that their toddler "drinks milk very well," even when the same child refuses family foods, eats very little at meals, or remains dependent on a bottle well into the second or third year of life. The child may appear full, calm, and even thriving. Yet satiety is not the same as nutritional adequacy, and fullness should not be mistaken for healthy feeding. Toddlerhood is not simply an extension of infancy; it is a developmental transition during which the child must gradually move from a milk-dominant intake to a varied, balanced, family-based diet. [1,8] When that transition is delayed, milk ceases to be a supplement to the diet and instead becomes its main competitor.

The hidden nutritional cost of fullness

The most widely recognized consequence of excessive milk intake in toddlers is iron deficiency, which may progress to iron deficiency anemia. [1–4] Cow's milk is intrinsically low in iron, and when consumed in large amounts it suppresses appetite for iron-rich foods such as meat, legumes, eggs, fortified cereals, and other complementary foods. The problem is compounded when milk is taken frequently throughout the day in a bottle, because this pattern encourages passive calorie intake rather than structured eating.

Several studies have demonstrated this association. Ziegler highlighted the role of excessive cow's milk consumption in the pathogenesis of iron deficiency in infants and toddlers.[1] Sutcliffe et al. found that daytime bottle-feeding in the second and third years of life was associated with iron depletion,[3] while Brotanek et al. linked prolonged bottle-feeding and iron deficiency with important social disparities in young children.[4] Parkin et al. further showed that severe iron-deficiency anemia in early childhood is strongly associated with modifiable feeding practices.[2]

The significance of iron deficiency in toddlerhood extends far beyond low hemoglobin. This is a period of rapid brain growth and neurodevelopment, and iron deficiency has been associated with irritability, poor attention, behavioral disturbance, impaired appetite, and adverse cognitive effects. [2] Thus, the milk-filled toddler may be deceptively undernourished: outwardly replete in calories, yet deficient in a micronutrient central to early brain development.

But iron deficiency is only one part of a larger nutritional problem. A milk-heavy diet narrows the child's exposure to foods rich in fiber, zinc, healthy fats, complex carbohydrates, and varied micronutrients. The result is often a toddler who is simultaneously overfed and undernourished: too full to eat well yet not receiving the diversity of nutrients needed for healthy growth. Contemporary complementary feeding guidance emphasizes that children between 6 and 23 months should progressively transition toward a

varied and nutrient-dense diet, with repeated exposure to multiple foods, tastes, and textures. [8] When milk becomes the easy answer to poor eating, that transition stalls.

Bottle dependence and its gastrointestinal and oral consequences

Excess milk feeding is not only a nutritional issue; it is also a gastrointestinal and oral health issue. Constipation is one of the most frequent and underrecognized consequences. Toddlers whose diets are dominated by milk commonly consume fewer fruits, vegetables, legumes, and whole grains, all of which contribute fiber and improve stool consistency. They may also drink less water. The outcome is familiar to pediatricians: hard stools, painful defecation, stool withholding, fear of stooling, poor appetite, and a perpetuating cycle of feeding difficulty.

Andiran et al. reported that infants and young children with constipation and anal fissure consumed significantly more cow's milk than controls. [5] In many cases, constipation is not an isolated complaint but part of a broader pattern of dietary imbalance. The child who drinks too much milk eats less, becomes constipated, then eats even less because stooling is painful. More milk is then offered because it is one of the few things the child will accept. A self-reinforcing feeding trap is created.

The bottle itself introduces another layer of harm. When bottle feeding continues beyond infancy, especially with frequent sipping during the day or bottles given at bedtime and through the night, the risk of early childhood caries rises substantially.[6,7] The mechanism is not difficult to understand: prolonged and repeated exposure of erupting teeth to carbohydrate-containing liquids promotes an oral environment favorable to demineralization and decay, particularly when oral hygiene is poor. Feldens et al., in a prospective cohort study, demonstrated that early feeding frequency was associated with later dental caries, [6] while Avila et al. confirmed in a systematic review and meta-analysis that bottle feeding is a significant risk factor for dental caries. [7]

Thus, the prolonged bottle is not merely a harmless comfort tool. It is often the vehicle through which excessive milk consumption is maintained, meal structure is undermined, and dental risk is amplified.

Missing the developmental window for food learning

Perhaps the most neglected consequence of excessive milk feeding is developmental. Toddlerhood is a sensitive period for acquiring food acceptance, oral-motor skills, texture tolerance, and the social behaviors associated with eating family meals. Children do not naturally accept all foods simply with age; they learn to do so through repeated and timely exposure. Taste preferences, texture tolerance, and willingness to sample unfamiliar foods are shaped during this early period.[8–10]

De Cosmi et al. emphasized the importance of early taste experiences in shaping later food choices. [9] Spill et al., in their systematic review, found that repeated exposure to foods, often over at least 8 to 10 occasions, improves acceptability in infants and toddlers. [10] This has major implications. A child who remains predominantly bottle-fed and milk-dependent may miss the critical developmental window in which exposure to diverse foods should be actively occurring. What is later labeled as "picky eating" may in some children represent a predictable consequence of delayed exposure to flavors, textures, and self-feeding experiences.

This developmental framing is important because it moves the discussion beyond calories and laboratory values. Excess milk feeding does not merely displace nutrients; it displaces learning. It narrows the sensory world of the child at the very time when that world should be broadening.

A call to pediatricians and parents

The central message is not that milk is harmful. Milk remains an important component of toddler nutrition. The problem arises when it is misplaced—when it dominates rather than complements the diet, when the bottle persists beyond developmental need, and when parental reassurance becomes tied to the amount of milk consumed rather than to the quality and diversity of foods accepted.

Pediatricians should address this issue proactively rather than reactively. Iron therapy, laxatives, and dental referrals all have their

place, but the more important intervention is early anticipatory guidance. Families should be counseled that after infancy, milk should support meals, not replace them; cups should gradually replace bottles; and dietary diversity must be encouraged even when acceptance is slow. Repeated refusal of new foods should not prompt retreat to milk dependence, but patient, structured, repeated exposure to age-appropriate solids.

For parents, the challenge is to shift the definition of "good feeding." A well-fed toddler is not one who drinks the most milk or stays the fullest the longest. A well-fed toddler is one who is learning to eat: tasting, chewing, exploring textures, joining family meals, and building a healthy relationship with a varied diet. In toddlerhood, true nourishment lies not in prolonging the comforts of infancy, but in supporting the child's transition beyond it.

Prof. Huma Arshad Cheema

MRCP (UK) DPGN (Lond)
Prof. Emeritus Pediatric Gastroenterology-Hepatology and Nutrition The Children's Hospital & the University of Child Health Health Lahore Pakistan

Director Foundation for Research in Genetic Medicine & Genomics

Director Pediatric Liver Transplant

Chairperson Pakistan Pediatric Association Gastroenterology and Hepatology Group

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.66347/ppj.v50i1.717

REFERENCES

1. Aizaz Ziegler EE. Consumption of cow's milk as a cause of iron deficiency in infants and toddlers. Nutr Rev. 2011;69 Suppl 1:S37-S42.
2. Parkin PC, DeGroot J, Maguire JL, Birken CS, Zlotkin S. Severe iron-deficiency anaemia and feeding practices in young children. Public Health Nutr. 2016;19(4):716-722.
3. Sutcliffe TL, Khambalia A, Westergard S, Jacobson S, Peer M, Parkin PC. Iron depletion is associated with daytime bottle-feeding in the second and third years of life. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2006;160(11):1114-1120.
4. Brotanek JM, Halterman JS, Auinger P, Flores G, Weitzman M. Iron deficiency, prolonged bottle-feeding, and racial/ethnic disparities in young children. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2005;159(11):1038-1042.
5. Andiran F, Dayi S, Mete E. Cows milk consumption in constipation and anal fissure in infants and young children. J Paediatr Child Health. 2003;39(5):329-331.
6. Feldens CA, Rodrigues PH, de Anastácio G, Vítolo MR, Chaffee BW. Feeding frequency in infancy and dental caries in childhood: a prospective cohort study. Int Dent J. 2018;68(2):113-121.
7. Avila WM, Pordeus IA, Paiva SM, Martins CC. Breast and bottle feeding as risk factors for dental caries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2015;10(11):e0142922.
8. Lutter CK, Grummer-Strawn L, Rogers L. Complementary feeding of infants and young children 6 to 23 months of age. Nutr Rev. 2021;79(8):825-846.
9. De Cosmi V, Scaglioni S, Agostoni C. Early taste experiences and later food choices. Nutrients. 2017;9(2):107.
10. Spill MK, Johns K, Callahan EH, Shapiro MJ, Wong YP, Benjamin-Neelon SE, et al. Repeated exposure to food and food acceptability in infants and toddlers: a systematic review. Am J Clin Nutr. 2019;109(Suppl_7):978S-989S.